SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C.

RECEIVED
JUN 1 1982
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

No. 81-6839

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland — Appellant/Plaintiff

against

John L O'Brien jr
Registry of Deeds — Appellee/Defendants

ON APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME Ct.(Mass.),
U.S.D.Ct., U.S.C.A. FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

Mass. 82-242
U.S.D.Ct. 82-534-S
U.S.C.A.1.82-1294

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland
P.O.Box 1008
Lowell, Mass. 01853

Robert B Stimpson
23 Central Ave.
Lynn, Mass. 01901

Cert.#6854630 ret.ret.

Table of Contents

Diverso Intuitu.....1
I. Opinion Below; 2
II. Parties Litigant 3
III. Jurisdiction.....4
IV Orders Appealed From 5
V. Statement of the Issues Presented 5
VI. Questions Presented.....6
VII. Statement of the Case 6
VIII. Constitutional Provisions Relied on & Cases Cited /Maintain Jurisdict...9
IX. Argument 15
X. Substantial Reasons 17
XI. Conclusion.....20
XII. Theological Judgment 22
Glossary.....24

APPENDIX

A. Bill of Complaint,etc.
B. 82-534-S Judgment of Dismissal
C. Demand to Vacate..denied..Notice of Appeal
D. U.S.C.A.1 Notice of Appeal
   Order of the Court
   Demand to Vacate
E. U.S.C.A.1. Order of the Court
D. U.S.C.A.1. Notice of Appeal
   Affidavit/Dirclaimer/Declaration
   Theological Judgment
E. Amend.1. Court Decisions
F. I.R.S. Affirmation IRS Defaults
G. Demand IRS prove Jurisdiction, etc.
H. Revocation 1040s & Demand;; Charge of FRAUD
I. Demand PROVE Jurisdiction
J.w Notice of Felony
K. Demand to Remove LIEN//Offer
L. Demand Egger prove Jurisdiction for Criminality
M. Default on Demands for Proof over 23 years.
N. Quo Warrant Prerogative Writ
O. Corroborative evidence IRS Criminality
P. Wanted....the ultimate horror
Q. Planned and proposed discriminatinn; Failure-to-Protect

AmJur2d.....13, 14, 15
Blackstone 14
Boyd v U.S. 13
Branzeberg v Hayes.....11
Chisholm v Georgia 13
Dartmouth College v Woodward 14
Geofrey v Riggs.....13
Gouled v U.S. 13
Green v U.S. 13
Hill v Philpott.....13
Julliard v Greenman 13
Kansas v Coloredo 13
Marbury v Madison.....13, 14
Mapp v Ohio 13
Miranda 13
Monongahela Navigation Co. v U.S.....14
Olmstead v U.S. 11
Reed v Covert.....13
Sherar v Cullen 13
State v Simmons 14
Taylor v Porter.....14
Universal Life Church v U.S. 12
U.S. v Dougherty 13
U.S. v Maylan 13
Williams v Florida.....13
666 22, 23, 26

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C.

No._____

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland — Appellant/Plaintiff

against

John L O'Brien jr
Registry of Deeds — Appellees/Defendants

ON APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME Ct.(Mass.)

U.S.D.Ct., U.S.C.A.1 For the First Circuit

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

Mass. 82-242
U.S.D.Ct. 82-534-S
U.S.C.A.1. 82-1294

DIVERSO INTUITU

Instant case is quite simple.

Dept. Treasury, I.R.S. put a lien upon Christian Church improperly, illegally. The I.R.S. admitted, admitted/averred as TRUE the LIEN was improper, illegal, FRAUD; and that I.R.S. is illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional without jurisdiction/authority (Amend.16,16)

However, the I.R.S. refused to remove the LIEN.

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland filed against the I.R.S.et al in the Federal courts; and, on the basis that Commonwealth of Massachusetts has specific laws prohibiting the filing of False and Fraudulent documents, etc. State-action was made to remove the LIEN, and for Damages.

Appellee/Defendants improperly, illegally removed State-Action to the U.S.D.Ct. before Skinner...who, had in the past established the unenviable record of skinning the Constitution; and had ordered his clerks, in writing, never to accept anything from Rt.Rev.Dr. Edward Wayland. Thus, without getting off the case, Skinner dismissed without JuryTrial Demanded.

The U.S.C.A.1.(Mass) dismissed the case without hearing or Jury trial demanded. Where the U.S.C.A.1. had, in the past, stated to Appellant, in writing, the Constitution of the U.S. is not valid, binding law, such denial was not a surprise.

Throughout, the Appellee/Defendants and the courts violated Guaranteed Constitutional Rights; this being CHURCH-STATE confrontation; and Admitted, Admitted/averred as TRUE all Appellant's Complaints, Grievances, Pleadings, Facts and Law.

Dismissal by the courts notwithstanding, all facts and law

remain Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE for all time.

It is appalling that in a Christian Nation, a Christian Church should be so unmercifully attacked.

(AmJur2d Constitutional Law, Court, Jurisdiction, Jury, Taxpayer Action)

And thus, in violating Article 4(4) of the Constitution of the United States, which has no express provisions to deny any Constitutional Rights to Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland. Thus for violation of Constitutional Rights, all courts and branches of government lost any and all alleged jurisdiction/authority. This makes all orders, findings, mandates, etc. null and void: being illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional and made without permission or consent of the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity (Preamble; Amend.9,10; Common Law; Declaration of Independence; Body of Liberties 1641, etc.) MINISTER in violation of Constitutional Rights, Affidavit (all pleadings) and Dishonored Theological Judgment.

This Petition is prepared pro se forma pauperis, as Affidavit of Minister, under Jurisdictional Statement Rule 15 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the U.S. (rev.12/1/71); and submits this Statement to establish that the Supreme Court of the United States has jurisdiction granted by the Rules and by the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, to redress his grievances. However, the jurisdiction is limited to Amendment 1 redress; and other portions of the Constitution relevant.

That where this is a Christian Nation, this Court must redress the oppressive government practices ignored by the lower courts; and failure, or refusal to do so, establishes Minister Affidavit as Theological Judgment as TRUE; and REVOKES "consent of Governed" clause of Preamble, Amend.9,10, and Declaration of Independence.

I

OPINION BELOW

February 5, 1982, Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, filed BILL OF COMPLAINT (wherein ANSWER is demanded) and REQUEST FOR DECLARATIVE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF; demanding removal of FALSE Lien on Christian Church; and for damages, as State-Action in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Superior Court, Salem.

No opinion issued from the Superior Court. Case was removed over protest of Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity to the U.S.D.Ct. where it was presented as No. 82-534-S before Skinner, who promptly dismissed, without issueing any reasons.** And promptly dismissed Demand to Vacate and Remand for Jury Trial...also, without hearing, trial, or reason. March 17, 1982; 2nd Denial undated.

April 20, 1982, the U.S.C.A.1.,Boston, Dismissed Appeal, also without hearing, and, without Answer from Appellee/Defendants on alleged "frivolous", thus affirming prior statements (by the court) that the Constitution of the U.S. and violations thereof are to be considered as "frivolous" and "meritless". May 4, 1982, the

U.S.C.A.1. dismissed the appeal, also without hearing, and in violation of the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity statement that jurisdiction/authority by the court was limited to Redress only.

** In 77-576-S, Skinner dismissed without hearing or jury trial case on Civil Rights Violations. In 79-2516-S Skinner dismissed Common Law Copyright/Freedom of Religion Grievance..TWICE..before service. He was rebuked for this action, refused to repent, and by Body-of-Liberties & Scripture Skinner was judged as he had judged the MINISTRY. In 79-145-S, Skinner Dismissed without hearing, without notifying of decision (Civil Rights Violations). Skinner refused to Re-open; and, where Skinner was not lawful, legal, Constitutional judge (having been illegally appointed & confirmed under defective Amend.17-Senate) no move could be made to impeach him; so, under Theological Judgment, as Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity, Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, FIRED him..which, Skinner, of course ignored; and which, under Body-of-Liberties,1641, the Court is required to HONOR, not ENFORCE.

Thus, Skinner had no jurisdiction/authority of instant case.

** The U.S.C.A.1. previously denied, in writing, the Constitution of the United States, is valid, binding law.

Affidavit/Disclaimer of Jurisdiction & Authority for violation of Constitutional Rights was filed in each of the above cases; and Declaration of Outlaw was added to instant case in each court. (AmJur2d Constitutional Law, Court, Jurisdiction, Jury, Taxpayer Action);

Such findings thereby being illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional and void as though never made. For, under defective Amend.17-Senate, these courts are illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional; and the ONLY jurisdiction that was advanced by Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunit1 to redress his grievance is thereby valid. (Citizen is source of all jurisdiction/authority: Preamble,Amend.9,10;Declaration of Independence). The Courts did NOT have jurisdiction/authority to dismiss or deny. None was granted.

II.

Parties Litigant

1. Appellant/Plaintiff, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, P.O.Box 1008, Lowell, Mass. 01853, is a Citizen of the United States of America; and is Sovereign (Preamble,Amend.9,10), with unalienable Rights in Sovereign Immunity; and no actions can be taken against him without his permission, consent. This was never granted to Appellee; in fact specifically mandated that Appellees had NONE.

Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, is MINISTER, ordained by GOD Jan.6, 1936 and by man at a later date. As MINISTER, his word is equivalent to 2-3, or more, witnesses; and is thus AFFIDAVIT which can be defeated ONLY by 2 or more counter-Affidavit..of equal weight and merit. NONE has ever been filed; and thus,

ALL complaints, pleadings, etc. by MINISTER, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland stand ADMITTED, ADMITTED/AVERRED as TRUE...for all time. And, being AFFIDAVIT, all such petitions for redress, are THEOLOGICAL JUDGMENT. (The Body-of-Liberties, 1641 established that though government is no longer required to ENFORCE Theological Judgment, the government must HONOR. To Dismiss, Deny is....DISHONOR).

2. Appellee/Defendant, John L O'Brien jr. Registrar-of-Deeds, 34 Federal St. Salem, Mass. 01970 was appraised of the fact that, under both the Constitutions and the Comm. of Mass. laws the LIEN registered against Christian Church was FRAUD, False and fraudulent document, and violated Amend. 1 Establishment & Free Exercise clauses, Amend.9,10; Common Law, etc. but refused to remove the LIEN, and fought AGAINST all attempts to do so.

Appellee/Defendant, Registry of Deeds, 34 Federal St., Salem, Mass. 01970 Violated all the above provisions, and, in addition, violated the Mass. Magna Carta: Body of Liberties 1641 provisions of Allodial Freehold, Due Process of Law, Freeman, etc.etc. and the provisions of CONTRACT (U.S.Constitution): Sovereign Immunity of Sovereign Citizen.

III

JURISDICTION

Jurisdiction to redress his grievance, or remand for Jury Trial, is Granted to this Court by the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, and no other jurisdiction/ authority, under and pursuant to the provisions of the Constitution, any part of which is jurisdictional:

(and that which is not listed here, and throughout this Appeal, is not waived by omission).

Preamble; Articles 3,4,6; Amendments 1,2,4-10,13,14

Magna Carta 1215 A.D.

Body of Liberties 1641 (Comm.Mass. Magna Carta)

Declaration of Independence (which establishes Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity; and provides that NO government has jurisdiction/authority without "consent of governed"; and, being REPUBLIC, "consent" is INDIVIDUAL..not the alleged majority of Democracy.

Common Law Public-Wrong provisions wherein, when government is litigant, proceedings are CRIMINAL (regardless of case-label), and all Procedural Safeguards Apply, including Burden-of-Proof. Anything less is government-lynching (as instant case in lower courts); and application of "case-law" is judicial making-law in violation of Constitutional Mandate (Legislature)

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rules 8, 12(b)(6) wherein all that is not answered or denied completely is Admitted and Averred as TRUE...for all time! (Minister Affidavit: undefeated; Theological Judgment as supported by the Word of GOD.)

Thus, Citizen Demand for Proof-of-jurisdiction/authority, when not proven, constitutes government FELONY (Brandeis: Olmstead)

Allegedly:

26 U.S.C. 1443, 7206, 13, 14, 17, 7344, 7804(b)
28 U.S.C. 1346
42 U.S.C. 1983, 1985, 1986, 1988

(wherever procisions do NOT conflict with U.S.Constitution, for, since 1915, statutes are under suspicion: Amend.17-Senate)

Article X (Const. Mass) which mandates that when the government fails to protect, the Sovereign Citizen is not required to pay; and thereby, under Common Law (Christian) the government ceases to have jurisdiction/authority for Failure-to-Protect.

IV

ORDERS APPEALED FROM

Superior Court (Mass.) Illegally removed
U.S.D.Ct. 82-534-S Dismissed March 17, 1982
U.S.CAA.1. 82-1294 Dismissed May 4, 1982
U.S.C.A.1. Denial of Demand to Vacate return mail

V

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES PRESENTED

All the lower courts, at all times, have refused to consider the fact that this is CHURCH-STATE confrontation in violation of Amend.1 provisions, etc.by the attack upon Christian Church by means of LIEN upon that property.

The lower courts, and Appellees, have exhibited such FEAR of the I.R.S. as to raise the question of Constitutionality of the government. For, when any branch of the government becomes tyrant, it does so only with the permission of government; and then it is but a matter of time when the streets will run with blood.

This Nation has a Constitution. Christian.

However, this Nation does not have a Constitutinnal government. The exact date of unConstitutionality is undetermined. Conservatives estimate approx. 1908 (Taft from Territory of Ohio); other maintain an earlier date: approx. 1860-61. Anti-Christ.

A Sovereign Citizen can be a Citizen under the Constitution; and maintain absolute Sovereign Immunity against both Constitutional and unConstitutional government.

1. Skinner, in publicly declaiming in court, that he is

ANTI-WAYLAND

ceased to have any alleged jurisdiction of ANY litigation wherein Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland is litigant or participant. When Skinner, U.S.District Court, violated his Article 6 Mandate to uphold the Constitution, he ceased to be Constitutional (if he ever was); and where he had been FIRED from the Judiciary in Theological Judgment,

he had no jurisdiction/authority; and, under defective Amend.17-Senate, Skinner had never been properly appointed nor confirmed; and was illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional..without jurisdiction/authority. This, Skinner Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE: and then proceeded to usurp and abuse authority NOT granted to him.

2. It was Admitted, Admitted/averred as TRUE in numerous confron tations, pleadings, Theological Judgment, etc. that the LIEN upon the Christian Church is FRAUD, false and fraudulent document; and that the court, when challenged on the question of FRAUD and lack of jurisdiction/authority of criminal-actions...CAN NOT PROCEED UNTIL THE QUESTION OF FRAUD AND WANT OF JURISDICTION/AUTHORITY is resolved. Court Admitted to the Fraud and lack of jurisdiction of IRS and Appellees...and then proceeded anyway.

3. That where the Citizen is Sovereign, all Sovereign Immunity resides in him; and, the government, as servant, is accountable to him for all violations of CONTRACT: U.S.Constitution, Common Law, etc.

4. That Amendment 1 specfically guarantees the Christian Church via the ESTABLISHMENT and FREE EXERCISE clauses; a LIEN placed upon a Christian Church violates those provisions...especiallylwhen done improperly, under FRAUD, and without jurisdiction/authority by an anti-Christ, Anti-Christian, Satan-controlled I.R.S. And, Appellees are thereby not just Accessories; but in removing case have become entire Principles (I.R.S. in another case).

5. That all pleadings of Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland--as MINISTER--are witness, evidence and equal to 2-3, or more witnesses and thus AFFIDAVIT; and also Theological Judgment, which, under Body-of-Liberties 1641 must be HONORED by the courts and other branches of government. To dismiss...is to DISHONOR. To dismiss is to judge of the ministry and of GOD. This is to mock. And GOD is angered by mocking.

6. Actions by Appellees is ATTAINDER, and Bill-of-Attainder under color-of-law in that it injures Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland and denies Constitutional Rights, including denial of Redress; and in attacking the Christian Church, which Jesus Christ proclaimed to be HIS Body. (74AmJur2d Taxpayer Action s.10-14)

7. That although the U.S.C.A.1. issued a Docket Number for the case, the U.S.C.A.1. refused to docket, returning the Appeal Brief filed. This establishes Denial of Justice, Denial of Access to Justice, and is sufficient grounds to declare the entire U.S.A. government illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional and OUTLAW.

(Which will be done at the U.N. if this court also refuses to docket, or issues a pocket-memorandum).

8. Jesus Christ did not come to win popularity contests. HE came to bring TRUTH. 2000 years later, TRUTH is still unwelcome. WOE to them that deny TRUTH.

VI

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Can any court, or judge, proceed when the charge of FRAUD and lack of jurisdiction/authority is made...and admitted/averred that such charge is TRUE?

(The U.S.Const., U.S.S.Ct., AmJur2d state it cannot).

2. Can the federal government (under defective Amend.17-Senate) enter into a STATE action...without permission/consent?

(Art.4(4) states it cannot; Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant has not granted permission or consent)

3. Can any court, or judge, proceed when it is proven that it,he, has and continues to violate Guaranteed and Mandated Constitutional Rights?

(U.S.Const., U.S.S.Ct., AmJur2d state it,he cannot, res judicata)

4. Can any court, or judge, or branch of government, deny Redress and retain jurisdiction/authority of Sovereign Citizen without his express permission/consent?

(Preamble,Amend.9,10; Declaration of Independence; Art.X Const.Mass; U.S.S.Ct., etc. state it cannot, res judicata)

5. Where does any branch of government get jurisdiction/authority to violate CONTRACT (U.S.Constitution) with, or without, impunity?

(U.S.Const. U.S.S.Ct., AmJur2d state it cannot, res judicata Various branches of gov't have failed to prove this question)

6. Can any branch of government of government proceed after admitting, admitting/averring as TRUE that Amend.16, Amend.17, and the monetary changes by statute of F.R.A.1913 is illegal,unlawful, unConstitutional; and confers no jurisdiction/authority.

(U.S.S.Ct.; AmJur2d,etc. states it cannot, res judicata)

7. Can the government, or any part thereof, maintain the claim of "immunity"?

(The Preamble, Amend.9,10;Dec.Independence; Common Law; U.S.S.Ct. state clearly that government is NOT sovereign...thus cannot claim Sovereign Immunities. Anything to the contrary (including Marshall's 1821"finding") is the Perjury of rogues, scoundrels, assorted tyrants). (Even under God's Law of Liberty & Justice, with operation of Free Will..there is accountability. It may be delayed, at times, but is inevitable and certain).

8. Can any portion of government attack, damage, violate the Amendment 1 ESTABLISHMENT and FREE EXERCISE of religion clauses?

(U.S.Const., U.S.S.Ct. AmJur2d states it cannot, res judicata)

VII

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

After Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, won his jury case, 72-3269-M, wherein the U.S.A. via I.R.S. admitted Amendment 16 is unConstitutional, and that the federal reserve note is not lawful, Constitutimnal money, the IRS intensified criminal harassement against Appellant;

(a) refused to PAY a judgment, which by IRS-math. is $12,774,000-

(b)admitted to having stolen approx.50,000.00f.r.n. from his P.O.Box; which today, by IRS-Math exceeds 100,000.00

(c)Admitted to causing trouble for Appellant in banks, various state and federal branches.

(d)Put LIEN upon Christian Church held Allodial Freehold, with valid I.R.S. exemption number.

(e)Admitted to being illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional; without jurisdiction/authority...thus all actions remain CRIMINAL!

October 29, 1981, Appellees filed and recorded LIEN upn Christian Church. Appellees ADMITTED this LIEN is FRAUD, improperly filed, filed with malice under "color-of-law" in violation of Mass. laws and Amend.1 (&9,10). Appellees admitted they had no jurisdiction/authority; and I.R.S. admitted it is illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional, and without authority/jurisdiction to file LIEN.

Yet, Appellees, admitting to FRAUD and multiple violations, refused to remove the LIEN. When government elected to defend these criminal acts,it then became government-crime (Brandeis: Olmstead)

Appellees instantly moved to remove case to U.S.D.Ct. for dismissal in violation of demand for Jury Trial, Proceedural Safeguards, etc. Such dismissal, without Demanded Rights constitutes violation Constitutional Rights

and violates Amendment 1 ESTABLISHMENT and FREE EXERCISE of religion clauses.

Of course, Skinner, even before dismissing the case, was illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional, a politician (not a judge) without jurisdiction/authority; and thus, his dismissal is null and void as though it had never been made.

The Appeal brief to the U.S.C.A.1.(Mass.) was never answered because the court too promptly and readily returned it, refusing to docket, even though a docket number had been established. But then, the U.S.C.A.1. had previously stated, in writing, that the Constitution of the U.S. is not valid, binding law (which was so stated in the brief; together with proof that the U.S.T.Ct., the I.R.S., Dept. Justice, Skinner, etc. are illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional..without jurisdiction/authority..and that EACH had separatelyAdmitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE.

In each court, Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, then filed Affidavit/Disclaimer of Jurisdiction-Authority/Declaration of Outlaw. For, only the Common Law Jury Trial per pais peers had authority/jurisdiction..as 12 Sovereign Citizens..to determine of the facts and the law...not the court of judges thereof.

And, filed: Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Declaration of Independence.

That where, as MINISTER, all complaints, pleadings, etc. are AFFIDAVIT and THEOLOGICAL JUDGMENT; and remain TRUE for none has been answered, denied, etc. notwithstanding any "opinion" of the courts.

VIII

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS RELIED ON &
CASES CITED WHICH MAINTAIN JURISDICTION

All provisions of 26 & 28 U.S.C. that deny redress of grievance are unConstitutional on their face and in their application.

And, under Constitution, Common Law Public-Wrong, the Burden-of-Proof remains upon the government. (U.S.S.Ct. AmJur2d Const. Law, res judicata). And (a) have been admitted to be unconstitutional; (b) under defective Amend.17-Senate, passed after 1915, are illegal.

Thus, where Appellees, and other cases, defended by the government (who is to deny that the opinions of the U.S.D.Ct., U.S.C.A.1. are not secret defense by the U.S.government!), the unconstitutionality remains PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE, and cannot now be challenged. For it comes under Affidavit, and Theological Judgment, as well.

Constitutional Violations of the lower courts & Appellees:

A. Article 3. Denied acces to courts in cases at law & equity. Denied mandated Jury Trial (Common Law Publid-Wrong)

B. Article 4. Denied equal privileges and immunities; establishes false and fraudulent "Sovereign Immunity" of the Servant:..the I.R.S., etc.

C. Denied Republican form of government by establishing bureaucratic Tyranny Mandates that are alleged to be of greater authority than the courts. (Amend.17-Senate) Declares State..not federal..jurisdiction (if any)

D. Article 6. Denied the Constitution is the Supreme Law of the Land; and by subornation corrupts judges, etc. to break their Art.6 oath/affirmation

E. Amendment 1. Violates ESTABLISHMENT, FREE EXERCISE clauses Denied Freedom of Speach; Denied Right to petition the government for redress of grievance (especially where the government is the SOURCE of the grievance); Invades and Abuses the RIGHT TO BE LET ALONE

F. Amendment 2. Denied the RIGHT to protect self and property as guaranteed by Amend.4, by fiat and "color-of-law"

G. Amendment 4. FAILS-TO-PROTECT, in denying security of persons, houses, papers and effects..without DUE PROCESS; and especially violates the honorable ALLODIAL FREEHOLD

H. Amendment 5. Denied Fair Trial, Jury Trial under Due Process Seizures without just compensation; compels witness-against self, etc.etc.

I. Amendment 6. Denied Fair, Public, Speedy trial by impartial JURY; denied assistance of counsel; denied Procedural safeguards, etc.

J. Amendment 7. Denied Common Law Jury Trial per pais peers,etc

K. Amendment 8. Established excessive cruel and unusual punishments, multiple jeopardies, without REDRESS

L. Amendment 9. Denied Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity (Preamble,A.10); And all other unenumerated RIGHTS by

the fraudulent concept of "legalisms" basis; that if it is not spelled out in enumeration it is invalid, illegal... and cannot be applied for the Citizen Protection.

I. Amendment 10. Denied the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity therein mandated; and many, many other Reserved RIGHTS; and by usurpation abuses powers not granted. (see Amend.9)

J. Amendment 13. Attempts to subordinate Sovereign Citizen into class of involuntary servitude & peonate, without proper conviction of any crime; and without jurisdiction/authority of any legal court.

K. Amendment 14. Distorted attempt to convert Sovereign Citizenship into a privilege-grant by government instead ot the mandated Sovereign Immunity RIGHT that is res judicata; Denied Due Process; Equal Protection, privileges, immunities.

L. Included only to establish illegality:
Amendment 16, 17: Upon which Titles 26 & 28 are based, were never issued by Constitutional 2/3rds of Congress, never ratified by 3/4ths of the legal states and IMPROPERLY "signed" into law. This is Admitted, Admitted/averred as TRUE multiple times by U.S.A.et al.
Thus, being unconstitutional (1913,14,15) enforcement of any alleged provisions directly, or under "color-of-law" statutes is FRAUD.

M. Federal Reserve Act of 1913...illegallly, unlawfully, unConstitutionally changes the Constitutional Monetary System by STATUTE (Amend.17-Senate) and not by Amendment as mandated by provisions of the U.S.Constitution..and which cannot now be changed because of Defective Amend.17-Senatea Admitted, admitted/averred as TRUE.

N. God's Law of Liberty & Justice.
This nation is established as a Christian Nation; and was dedicated to GOD numerous times since the first dedication, Shipboard Covenant, 1636.
The Common Law (Christian) founded upon the Testaments.
Such statutes as violate the Honor, Honesty, Decency, Morality establish FRAUD by usurping the functions of the Christian Church illegally, unlawfully, unConstitutionally in violation of Amend.1; and estaolishes HUMANISM as the Government-Church.

O. Mass.General Laws Chapters on FRAUD, False and Fraudulent Documents; Uniform Commercial Code sections on FRAUD,etc.

Therefore, such statutes establish the perpetration of FRAUD, prevent redress; allow unrestrained and evil harassment and persecution under "color-of-law"limited only by the Evil-Level Tolerance of the persecutors, with extreme bias & malice. This is Denial of Justice, and also Denial of ACCESS to Justice;

Fraudulent "protections" (gov't immunities) are a prime instigator and mover to corruptions in government...to excess.

illegal, unlawful protections of improper motives and influences plain disregard of duty, gross abuse of power or discretion, etc. For, no criminal ever knows when to stop.

Due Process, Constitutional Rights, Accountability of Government become casualties.

The FOUNDERS warned of such usurpations and corruptions; and built Safeguards into the Constitution....which, by mutual consent of the government..not the governed..have been eroded away.

No.

This Nation has a Constitution; but does it have Constitutional government?

Cases Cited:

As Justice Douglas stated so clearly and bluntly, in his dissent in Branzeberg v Hayes, 408 U.S. 665 (1972):

> For the understanding of these principles (Constitution) it is essential to keepclear the crucial difference between 'the rights' of the governed and 'the powers' of the governors. And at this point, the title 'Bill of Rights' is lamentably inaccurate as a designation of the first ten amendments. They are not a 'Bill of Rights' but a 'Bill of POWERS and Rights'. The Second through Ninth Amendments limit the powers of the subordinate agencies in order that due regard shall be paid to the private 'rights of the governed'. The First and Tenth Amendments protect the governing 'powers' of the people from abridgment by the agencies which are established as their servants. In the field of our 'rights', each one of us can claim 'due process of law'. In the field of our governing 'powers', the notion of 'due process' is irrelevant.

And, also, in Olmstead v U.S. 277 US 438(1928) Justice Brandeis dissented and warned..WARNED..in clear, sharp language:

> When these unlawful acts were committed they were crimes only to the officers individually. The government was innocent, in legal contemplation; for no federal official is authorized to commit a crime on its behalf. When the government, having full knowledge, sought, through the Department of Justice, to avail itself of the fruits of these acts in order to accomplish its own ends, it assumed moral responsibility for the officers' crimes... And if this court should permit the government, by means of its officers' crimes, to effect its purpose of punishing the defendants, there would seem to be present all the elements of a ratification. If so, the government itself would become a lawbreaker.
>
> ....Decency, security and liberty alike demand that government officials shall be subjected to the same rules of conduct that are commands to the citizen. In a government of laws, existence of the government will be imperiled if it fails to observe the law scrupulously. Our government is the potent, the omnipresent teacher. For good or for ill, it teaches the whole people by its example. Crime is contagious. If the government becomes a lawbreaker, it breeds contempt for law; it invites every man to become a law unto himself; it invites anarchy. To declare that in the administration of the criminal law the end justifies the means--to declare that the government may commit crimes in order to secure the conviction of a private criminal--would bring terrible retribution.
>
> Against that pernicious doctrine this court should resolutely set its face.

Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, herein charges and

declares that the lower courts have RATIFIED the criminal behaviour of Appellees and all those involved.

Under the Common Law Public-Wrong: whenever government is one of the litigants (state or federal, or both), regardless of alleged "civil" label on the case, the case is totally CRIMINAL: and ALL Proceedural Safeguards Apply.

So, the warnings of Olmstead apply; and remain a warning to all governments as to their actions...and the results thereof. For, such actions violate the mandated provision that this Nation is a REPUBLIC; and, having betrayed the governed, the governed are thus granted the unsurrendered RIGHT to REVOKE that consent. (Preamble, Amend.9,10,Declaration of Independence; Common Law-Christian-)

And, for the Courts, including the politicâan-Skinner, to sanction and to approve the criminal actions and violations of the Constitution by the "officers" and branches of government is to put the official government stamp of approval upon CRIME.

This: Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant, Rt.Rev. Dr. Edward Wayland, has not agreed to. And, as MINISTER, is forbidden by his Lord God to honor or to glorify..for such actions are of satan..not of GOD. And, he is particularly forbidden to compromise with EVIL both by the Lord GOD, and by Nuremberg.

It is established, proven, and Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE that the Christian Church on which the LIEN was placed is legally, lawfully, Constitutionally and Theologically Christian and Church; the Church being, by Scripture, the Body of Jesus Christ.

CIVIL No.S-1954 Universal Life Church Inc. v U.S.A. (etc.etc.)

> Neither this Court, nor any branch of this Government, will consider the merits or fallacies of a religion. Nor will the Court compare the beliefs, dogmas, and practices of a newly organized religion with those of an older, more established religion. Nor will the Court praise of condemn a religion, however excellent or fanatical or preposterous it may seem. Were the Court to do so, it would impinge upon the guarantees of the First Amendment.
>
> In short, the Court merely finds that the plaintiff's ordination of ministers, its granting of church charters and its issuance of Honorary Doctor of Divinity certificates are not substantial activities which do not further any religious purpose. Furthermore, the facts outlined supra reveal that the plaintiff requested, but did not require, free will offerings in performance of these activities.
>
> IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the plaintiff be and is entitled to a Federal Tax Exemption and to a refund of all moneys levied against by the defendant with interest thereon from the date of levy, March 19, 1970
>
> IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's counte claim be and is dismissed and the plaintiff is entitled to recover the reasonable costs of the suit herein
>
> IT IS ALSO ORDERED that the plaintiff submit an appropriate judgment in accordance herewith.
>
> Done and dated this 27th day of February, 1974
>
> James F Battis...U.S.D.Ct.judge

Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr. Edward Wayland, was ordained as Minister by GOD January 6, 1936 at Market St., Philadelphia, Pa. (and by man..both Church and school at a later date).and the Church property LIENED by Appellees

is accredited by Commonwealth of Massachusetts as a Christian Church, which accredition must be honored by the U.S.A. (Art.3(6)); and has valid I.R.S.exemption Number: D094E1N94-15999591. This is admitted, Admitted, averred as TRUE.

Question: Which is more important..to be ordained by GOD or by man? Remember how many degrees Jesus Christ had?

And now a quick survey of Citizen guarantees and limits upon government:

One of the great objects of government is to protect the life, liberty, and property of the individual citizen.
Chisholm v Georgia (16AmJur2d Const.Law. Sect.328+)

The U.S. is entirely a creature of the Constitution....
Marbury v Madison 1 Cranch 137(1803)
Reed v Covert 354 US 1,17
Geofrey v Riggs 133 US 258,267
etc.etc.etc. res judicata

There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of his exercise of Constitutional Rights.
Sherar v Cullen 481 F2d 496

Ordinarily it is sound policy to adhere to prior decisions, but this practice has quite properly never been a blind inflexible rule.
Green v U.S. 356 US 165
(for, only litigants of a case are bound by that decision..if the court has not lost jurisdiction; and anything else is "making-law" by judiciary)

Only the findings of Common Law Jury Trial per/petit with right to determine facts and law (Georgia v Brailsford, Dall 1(1794) can be judicially cited; anything else is Judiciary making law in violation of Constitutional Manate that only Legislature can do so.

Denial of Jury Trial is Denial of Due Process
16AmJur2d Constitutional Law S.20,21,548-550+
47AmJur2d JURY S.20,21,47+,52,53,83+

The view has been taken that a court sitting without a jury, where there is a right to a jury trial (in ALL gov't-citizen confrontations) which has not been waived has no jurisdiction of the case. (S.20)

And that where a jury found the law was contrary they had the right to so decide.
U.S. V Dougherty 473 F2d 1113,1130
U.S. v Maylan 417 F2d 1002....etc.etc.res judicata

The jury trial is a RIGHT
Kansas v Colorado 206 US 46
Julliard v Greenman 110 US 421
Hill v Philpott 445 F2d 144...etc.etc.res judicata

Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them.
Ch.J.Earl Warren in Miranda

(Denied Jury Trial establishes tyranny)
Jury is a safeguard against arbitrary law enforcement
Williams v Florida 90 S.Ct. 1893,1905

No arbitrary and unlimited power is vested in any department; such power is regarded as a condition subversive of the Constitution, and the chief characteristic and evil of tyrannical and despotic forms of government. 16AmJur2d sect.212

In numerous cases the courts have held the property which is not the fruit or instrumentalities of a crime or contraband, is not subject to seizure
Boyd v U.S. 116 US 616,630
Gouled v U.S. 255 US 298
Mapp v Ohio 367 US 643..etc.etc.res judicata

A LIEN is a seizure.

The Appellees have failed to prove the Christian Church is the fruit or instrumentality of a crime, or contraband. In fact, the citations above (which join Amend. 4 and 5) prove and establish absolute ownership in Allodial Freehold, which is provision of CHURCH, the Body of Christ.

> ...to bereave a man of life, or by violence to confiscate his estate, <u>without</u> accusation or trial would be so gross and notorious an act of despotism, as must at once convey the alarm of tyranny throughout the whole kingdom.
> Blackstone, Commentaries Bk.1,Ch.1.pg.135

The LIEN is improper, illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional;and has never been authorized or issued by Constitutional Court or judge that has proven jurisdiction/authority to do so. This is Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE by Appellees..numerous times!

> By the law of the land is most clearly intended the general law; a law which proceeds upon inquiry, and renders judgment after trial. The meaning is that every citizen shall hold his life, liberty, property, and immunities, under the protection of the general laws which govern society. Everything which may pass under the form of an enactment, is not, therefore, to be considered the law of the land.
> Dartmouth College v Woodward 4 Wheat 518,581(1819)

> (The Fourteenth Amendment) was intended to protect those rights of persons and property which by the Declaration of Independence were affirmed to be <u>inalienable rights</u>
> Monongahela Navigation Co v U.S. 148 US 312,324(1892)

> The term "due process of law" as used in the Federal Constitution has been repeatedly declared to be the exact equivalent of the "law of the land" as used in the Magna Carta... (which is, of course CHRISTIAN Common Law)
> 16AmJur2d s.546+

And, the Magna Carta was based on, founded upon the Old and New Testaments: the Scripture Word of GOD.

> Law of the Land means the common law...
> (Christian, not former king's self-serving courts)
> State v Simmons 2 Spears 761,767(1884)
> Taylor v Porter 4 Hill 140,146(1843)..etc.res judicata

> The very essence of civil liberty certainly consists in the right of every <u>individual</u> to claim the protection of the laws whenever he receives an injury.
> Marbury v Madison 1 Cranch 137

This, the lower courts, the various branches of government denied AND claimed fictional "immunities" which they could NOT prove nor substantiate; thus admitted to Felony and Treason.

> A party's affidavit disqualifying the judge, who has (or has not) jurisdiction over a case, if the disqualification is valid, deprives the judge of further authority to act in the case. 20 AmJur2d Sec.150

And, it is established that the word of the Minister (Scripture, Body of Liberties 1641, Common Law,etc.) <u>is</u> AFFIDAVIT of 2-3, or more, witnesses; and, as AFFIDAVIT is thereby THEOLOGICAL JUDGMENT.

Thus, Affidavit of Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, is thereby both: AFFIDAVIT and THEOLOGICAL JUDGMENT. Of course, Skinner, refused to get off case; and his "findings" are thus illegal.

Furthermore, under Defective Amend.17-Senate, Skinner has no legal, lawful, Constitutional jurisdiction/authority to act or

proceed without Common Law Jury per pais peers. Skinner denied JuryTrial, and thus proceed without jurisdiction/authority right into Felony and Treason.

> A failure to perform a promise (Breach of Contract, Breach of Covenant, Breach of Faith) the performance of which is a condition precedent, is an excuse for non-performance of the promise made by the other party.
> 17AmJur2d Contracts s.398; Art.X Const.Mass. Amendment 4 U.S.Const. CHARGE: Fails to Protect (admitted) (U.S.Constitution as CONTRACT between gov't & citizen)
>
> The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and name of law, is in realiti no law, but is wholly void and ineffective forany purpose, since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment, and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it..No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it.
> 16AmJur2d s.177

In order for a statute bo be legal, lawful, Constitutional it must pass Congress as prescribed by the Articles governing; must be passed by legal state representatives and senators, and signed into law by those Constitutionally allowed to do so. However, under Defective Amendment-17 Senate, since 1915, an illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional Senate cannot act on any "laws"; and those laws which abide by the Constitution are thus illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional for being <u>improperly</u> passed by Amend.17-Senate.

By the court own rules; F.R.C.P. Rules 8, 12(b)(6), etc. that which is not answered or denied completely is admitted/averred as TRUE...for all time. This provision is upheld by the Common Law, etc.etc.res judicata. And, as the word of Minister is AFFIDAVITS and THEOLOGICAL JUDGMENT (which MUST be HONORED by the courts)... ALL previous complaints, pleadings, etc. are Admitted, Admitted/ Averred as TRUE. For, attacks upon Theological Judgment are attacks upon the Christian Church, upon Jesus Christ, upon GOD. And, Jesus Christ states bluntly in Matthew 18:17 what the fate of these is to be.

APPENDIX

A. Bill of Complaint in Superior Court which is established as Admitted, Admitted/averred as TRUE; and thus Relief demanded must be so ordered.

B-D Orders of lower courts/Plaintiff challenges, Disclaimers,Declarations.

E-N Admitted,Admitted/Averred as TRUE by IRS, Dept.Justice all charges, claims, demands as FRAUD; lack of Juridiction/Authority. Admissions of criminal behaviour/theft of moneys from Appellant, etc.etc.res judicata ..

O-Q Miscellaneous contentions & affirmations.

IX

ARGUMENT

The final arbiter of controversies wherein the government is self-serving, in its own interests on government-issue, is by Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity as exemplified in Amend.7. by the Common Law Jury Trial per pais peers..a determination by the

country(people)..not by the government.

Such jury trial was denied in the lower courts. In fact, NO trial of any kind was held.

For the government (as servant) to determine on "government-issue" against the interests of the Citizen (as master) violates both the Constitution and the Common Law (Christian0; for the government is then acting in a most biased and un-fair manner for its own self-serving interests. And, by Law, and all manner of Decency and Honor, the government cannot be a Party-of and also in judgement-of its own issue and interests.

This didn't deter the lower courts in the least.

YET, as Amendment 1 establishes ABSOLUTE Church Rights that can not be abbrogated, then neither the government, the courts, nor the Common Law Jury can determine to violate them, or in any manner attack them. To do so is to declare to be greater than GOD....and in danger of THE Judgment.

Instant case is grievance by Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, and demand that LIEN upon Christian Church be removed... plus damages.

What could be more clear cut?

Appellees, U.S.A., Dept. Justice, I.R.S. Dept. Treasury have ALL Admitted, Admitted/Averred as TRUE to all the Appellant's contentions, charges, declarations; and thus, the Courts had NO alternative but to find and relieve the grievances. It refused to do so.

Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr. Edward Wayland, Minister, had demanded, under Title 5 U.S.C. that IRS, Dept. Treasury, Dept. Justice, Appellees, etc. prove completely, without any ommissions, and promptly to being legal, lawful, Constitutional and with jurisdiction/authority for their actions against Appellant. All were unable to prove, unable to answer or deny in any capacity, either under Statute, pleadings, or in court. Thus, all pleadings, and pseudo-pleadings are Perjury and filing of False and Fraudulent Documents, being thereby null and void.

Without jurisdiction/authority, the lower courts established the status of Kangaroo-Court with lynching-for-all.

Appellant was denied Guaranteed and Mandated Constitutional Rights:

Fair Trial
Jury Trial before unbiased..lawful..judge
Proceedural Safeguards of Common Law Public-Wrong
Equal Protection, Protection, Privileges and Immunities
Right to be protected from oppressive government practices!
Refusal to redress grievance; refusal to remove LIEN from Christian Church establishes collusion/conspiracy; violates guaranteed Religious Rights; Breach of Contract/Breach of Covenant/Breach of Faith.

Such violations of the Constitution, of course, are not allowed in a REPUBLIC. Such are thelacts of despotism and tyranny

such acts rescind all claims upon the Appellant because of lows of jurisdiction/authority. Appellant can not be required to "consent" to be governed by criminal and tyranny. Such acts destroy any and all "claims" of Appellees, and thus void the alleged "validity" of criminal-sponsored LIEN upon Christian Church

WHICH IS THE CENTER OF CONTROVERSY IN INSTANT CASE

Who is the greater...rapacious government..or GOD? For government to declare it is the greater is abominable blasphemy.

Refusal of the government to Redress Grievance thereby breaks CONTRACT and REVOKES the CONSENT OF THE GOVERNED. Refusal-to-redress grants to the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Waylmnd, theunqualified RIGHT to redress the grievance himself. This is affirmed in Matt.18:15-17

Amendment 17 slithered out of Congress quite a few votes short of the required 2/3rds Constitutional vote; and was illegally "ratified" by 3/4ths of the states...several of which, evan at this date, are totally illegal, never having been properly admitted into the Union. Thus, Amend.17 was improperly signed into law. And, the resultant Senate, elected under illegal Amend.17 is, of course, illegal.

On that basis alone, Skinner had no jurisdiction/authority... nor the U.S.C.A.1...nor did Appellees have authority to file LIEN which is established as FRAUD, false and fraudulent document, and refuse to remove it.

Only the Common Law Jury Trial per pais peers is legal, lawful constitutional in the courtroom on the basis of TWELVE Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity with right to determine fact & law.

Such Jury Trial was denied to Appellant.

Thus, to act on unconstitutional STATUTE..especially when proven so..is deliberate act of TREASON. The lower courts have been charged with (a)Contempt of the Constitution, (b)Treason, (c)etc.

Thus, Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, was denied his guranteed and Mandated Constitutional Rights. The lower courts refuseed to abide by the Amend.1 ESTABLISHMENT and FREE EXERCISE clauses. That either the Appellant's Constitutional Rights and grievances be Redressed, or, having been denied Redress, the Consent-of-Governed clause of the Declaration of Independence is envoked.

Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, under AFFIDAVIT and THEOLOGICAL JUDGMENT DEMANDS that LIEN be removed from Christian Church and awarded damages, costs, expenses; in the alternative to remand to Legal Court for Jury Trial as demanded above.

X

SUBSTANTIAL REASONS

Denial of Constitutional Rights is Tyranny.

Attack upon the Christian Church is attack upon GOD.

William Penn, 1681, stated bluntly that either the nation is governed by GOD..or it is ruled by tyrants. It is a sad truth that no tyrant recognizes his own tyranny. By 1775 standards, this Nation has long since surpassed, out-done, out-stripped the tyranny of George III..and, ironically, those responsible do not recognize that it is Tyranny. They recognize it only as THEIR Right.

Jesus Christ did not come to win popularity contests. HE came to tell the TRUTH. The TRUTH is never popular, especially to those who live by the LIE.

Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr. Edward Wayland has absolute, undisputed Right to Guaranteed and Mandated Amendment Right to Petition for Redress; Right to Speak; Right to Jury Trial; Right to Freedom of Religion.etc.etc. And, Appellant has never, NEVER surrendered any of his Constitutional Rights.

The Rights are further amplified by the Common Law (Christian) which are brought into instant case by Amendments 9 and 10 unenumerated..which means UNLIMITED..and reserved (which means they can not be voted nor amended away). And by Amendment 14 Declaration of Independence Unalienable Rights. Thus, under the Constitution and the Common Law, Appellant has the absolute undisputable RIGHT to Jury Trial per pais peers.

Furthermore, under the Common Law, all government-citizen litigation, regardless of alleged "civil" labels is Public-Wrong (Criminal). This establishes Absolute Unalienable Right to Due Process, Fair Trial, Impartial (& legal) judge, Procedural Safeguards, Jury Trial, etc.etc.etc. nor is Appellant required, or compelled to surrender ANY Constitutional Right.

NO government-citizen litigation can Constitutionally be termed Equity!!!

The mandate of Amendment 7 is quite clear. The decisions of a Common Law Jury cannot be reexamined (or challenged except under rules of Common Law...not by the whim of frightened or intimidated politicians masquerading as judges) on that particular case only. This establishes that the Common Law Jury verdict is <u>superior</u> to that of the U.S.S.Ct., being 12 Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Jurors. Thus, any non-jury (Common law,i.e.) decision is NOT valid, and can NOT be judicially cited in any case for any reason or purpose, for such purpose is, automatically, <u>improper</u>. Nor can the Sovereign Citizen be bound by judge-made-law. Only the Legislature can do that..if the Sovereign Citizen grants permission/consent.

Nothing is more essential to the preservation of the Rule of Law than the preservation of those Rights and Immunities reserved to the INDIVIDUAL, Sovereign Citizen by the Preamble, Bill-of-Powers-and-Rights of the Contract: the Constitution of the United States. These Rights and Immunities are of inestimable value. These Rights and Immunities are absolute and inalienable. These Rights and Immunities constitute a fortress against the unending onslought of tyrants, rogues and scoundrels.

And, the most important of these Rights is the RIGHT to

Jury Trial, which trial must be Common Law per pais peer on all government-issues, for the government has established that it can NOT be trusted where its self-interests are involved. By such unhampered Jury, the Sovereign Citizen determines how he is to be governed...and which laws he determines to be government-usurpation. It is only by such safeguards can the consent of the Sovereign Citizen be assured.

Not otherwise.

And, it is established, res judicata, that when the government denies, deprives, or violates any Constitutional Right of that Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity, that government, or branch thereof, completely and unutterably loses ALL jurisdiction and authority of the case and of the individual. This is established in both the Constitution and God's Law of Liberty and Justice.

Having been declared a CHRISTIAN Nation, no part of the government has the jurisdiction/authority to attack a CHRISTIAN Church in any manner under any pretext. Nor has jurisdiction/authority been granted by Appellant to do this. God does not attack HIS Church. Therefore, the attack is Anti-God...of satan and those who do his bidding.

The provisions of Amendment 1 Mandate that the government is NOT to attack the Christian Church.

The attack upon the Christian Church by the lower courts and Appellees must not be allowed to stand!

In attacking the Christian Church, Appellees have declared themselves as Anti-Christ...which cannot be tolerated in a Christian Nation.

> Woe unto them that decree unrighteous decrees and that write grievousness which they have prescribed. To turn aside the needy from judgment and to take away from the poor of MY people that widows may be their prey and that they rob the fatherless. And what will ye do in that day of visitation and in the desolation which shall come from far to whom will ye flee for help and where will ye leave your glory Without ME they shall bow down under the prisoners and they shall fall under the slain. For all this HIS anger is not turned away but HIS hand is stretched out still. Isaiah 10:1-4
>
> Woe unto you scribes and pharisees...
>
> Woe unto you lawyers...
>
> Woe unto..is GOD's Condemnation and Judgment
>
> And if HE send HIS Wrath upon you, and upon your deeds, you are not they who can supplicate HIM; for you utter against HIS Righteousness great and powerful things. To you there shall be no peace. Enoch 100:3

The Constitution is the guardian of the Covenant Way of life in the United States. It is Covenant. Breach of the Constitution is Breach of Contract, Breach of Covenant, Breach of Faith. The government has failed to protect the Sovereign Citizen, and the Christian Church:

which is its ONLY legitimate function.

This nation is now on the brink of Armageddon for mocking GOD in hundreds of decisions as are so horribly illustrated in this

appeal from the unconstitutional and rabid decisions of the lower courts.

WHEREFORE, this Court has no alternative but to remove the LIEN from Christian Church; or remand to a lawful court for Common Law JuryTrial per pais peers.

Anything less is Government-Anarchy and Treason.

XI
CONCLUSION

There is no doubt that Appellee had no jurisdiction/authority to put a LIEN...which was FRAUD, a false and fraudulent document.. upon a Christian Church. And,once proven, Appellee were wrong in refusing to remove the LIEN. Not only was the Christian Church protected by Amendment 1,9,10 provisions, but also the Allodial Freehold provisions established by all documentation upheld by Article 6 Constitution of the U.S.

Action without jurisdiction/authority is Felony..

In a Christian Nation, no non-Christian, nor those doing the bidding of satan, can sit with impunity and allege, fraudulentlyl, jurisdiction/authority they do not have in judgment of actions taken against the Christian Church. If they were not illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional to begin with, they would lose any alleged jurisdiction for violation of Constitutional Rights,res judicata.

The Nuremberg mandate is also quite clear. The U.S.S.Ct. justices stated that no citizen is required to obey an evil law or evil order; in fact, must RESIST and refuse to obey such evil. This is the same mandate of Scripture. No Christian is allowed to honor or glorify that which is of satan.

Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, was denied the Right to Jury Trial; a Right which he never surrendered, and numerous other unenumerated, reserved, and inalienable Rights.

Such denials establish a Tyranny, in violation of the mandate and guarantee of REPUBLIC.

At all times, Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, has demanded PROOF that the relevant branches of government involved in this debacle are legal, lawful, Constitutional. <u>All have failed to prove</u>. Such failure establishes that not only was the LIEN upon Christian Church a FRAUD, but those actively participant, being without jurisdiction/authority are in Perjury, Felony, Treason, Anarchy, and Outlaw.

One of the most valuable RIGHTS violated is:

THE RIGHT TO BE LET ALONE.

In a REPUBLIC, this is THE Prime Right.

In a republic, the individual is a sovereign majority of ONE. As individual he cannot be compelled or coerced to obey the alleged majority of the "democracy" without his express, written

permission/consent. This, as a Christian, a Minister, Appellant has never permitted. Force and Coercion and deceit to attain complaince is NOT consent.

That it is required to appeal instant case to this court is prima facie evidence that irresponsible, miniature Hitlers dominate the lower courts, who are assumed to enjoy the havoc they cause by denial of Justice and Access-to-Justice; and have violated...and continue to violate..the Constitutional Rights of Appellant.

On that basis, in addition to above, ALL branches of government have lost any alleged jurisdiction/authority of the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity Appellant, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland. 74AmJur2d Taxpayer Action spells out that when redress can not be gained, the source of the grievance is unConstitutional...and, in this case, the source is the government. Q.E.D.

Appellant, Rt.REv.Dr.Edward Wayland has NEVER agreed to government criminality...not to unconstitutional government.

As stated above, the word of the Minister is equivalent to that of 2-3, or more, witnesses. Thus, all prior and present filings by Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland are AFFIDAVIT, whether so designated or not. And, as AFFIDAVIT, under the grant of jurisdiction of Acts, Matt. 18:15-17, etc., all are Theological Judgment, whether so designated or not; and which Theological Judgment remains in effect.

The government is not required to ENFORCE such judgment (Body of Liberties 1641), but is required to HONOR, and must HONOR. The lower courts by arbitrary and illegal dismissals and denials of guaranteed Constitutional Rights is the most shocking

DISHONOR.

Refusal to docket, in this court, or to hear the grievance, is further DISHONOR. Such refusal ADMITS to Theological Judgment; and does so as of satan and those who do satan's bidding. Such refusal establishes the government to be Anti-Justice, Anti-Christ. Such refusal establishes the parameters that NO Christian han honor or obey.

No "civil" action, or inaction, can vacate Theological Judgment. When the Christian Church is judged, as in the lower courts, it is empowered to judge ten- and one hundred-fold. That which is bound on earth is bound in heaven; and cannot be loosed by "civil" frivolities and fol de rol.

Thus, Instant Case, and all prior briefs, petitions, motions, writs, pleadings (numerous) etc., each being and remain, AFFIDAVIT of Minister, regardless of court semantics notwithstanding; and remain as Theological Judgment.

LIEN is FRAUD, false and fraudulent document. It must be, removed.

Appellees in violating Amend.1, and attacking Christian Church are wrong, and have wronged Appellent to extent damages are demanded.

Jesus Christ did not come to this world 2000 yrs ago to win popularity contests. HE came with a MANDATE...AND this Court must

abide by it, or face the Wrath of GOD at HIS Judgment. For, the Lord GOD does not take kindly to being mocked.

What excuse will YOU have to explain to the Lord GOD?

XII

THEOLOGICAL JUDGMENT

Approximately 1636, in Shipboard Covenant, this Nation was dedicated to GOD; and affirmed numerous times since..at the Constitutional convention, and in numerous courts and branches of the government.

In 1641, the first written law, the Body of Liberties, established and guaranteed, as the Magna Carta of what was to become the Commonwealth of Massachusetts: FREEMAN, Allodial Freehold (absolute ownership of property), Jury Trial, Due Process, approximately a hundred, or more, total rights. Many of these guarantees found their way into the Constitution of the United States, while others remained as the Common Law (Christian, for even then the nation was running, not walking, away from the king's self-serving courts).

One of the important changes was disruption of that between the king and the Church. Where formerly the government was required to enforce, through the courts, all Theological Judgments, the Body of Liberties broke this link to the king/government, by mandating that the courts were no longer required to ENFORCE..but must HONOR all such Judgments.

To dismiss or deny, as the lower courts have done, is, of course, Dishonor.

Under the provisions of thE Body of Liberties, the Authority of Scripture, Constitution, Common Law, Rt.Rev.Dr.Edward Wayland, ordained by GOD 1/6/36, recipient of Manhattan Project awards, and thus qualified, herein establishes this, both above and below, and all past pleadings, present and future as THEOLOGICAL JUDGMENT:

1. Title 26 U.S.C. is illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional; and no Christian is bound to observe it.
2. Title 28 U.S.C. is illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional; and no Christian is bound to observe it.
3. The I.R.S., together with all alleged "agents" is illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional; totally without jurisdiction/authority; and no Christian is bound to obey any of its "orders".
4. The I.R.S. is proven to be of satanic-demonic activity and origin. It is infamous, and in infamy is attempting to subvert by deceit and force, upon Christians, the Mark-of-the-Beast: Number 666. This 666 is forbidden to all Christians with dire penalties. And is the precursor of evils, the prelude to Armageddon and the Judgment to come in the End Times.

666 is a horror and an abomination to all Christians.

The I.R.S. IS 666.

5. The Department of Justice is illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional, and has no jurisdiction/authority to act in any capacity in any

It has ratified, accepted, and become accessory to the Mark-of-the-Beast. Thus it is established as a further horror and abomination.
6. Skinner, and all those in the U.S.C.A.1. are illegal, unlawful, unConstitutional; and none of the "orders" issued therfrom are thereby valid. It has ratified, accepted and become accessory to the Mark-of-the-Beast, and is guilty of the most horrible government crimes against man and total humanity; and are a further abomination. 666
7. Appellees herein, have, perhaps without their knowledge, become accessory to the Mark-of-the-Beast; but GOD shall hold them' accountable, for to whom much is given, much is expected; and they have betrayed that trust.
8. The LIEN upon Christian Church is FRAUD; false and fraudulent documentation (a crime); and is thus null and void. It is an attempt to establish govemmment is greater than GOD. And that is an abomination and blasphemy.

That all further denials of Justice and Acdess-to-Justice are an abomination, and not of-GOD; but are the precursor to the Number-of-the-Beast Horrors described in Revelation.

All that is required of the Minister is to Warn-the-Wicked they are in danger of THE Judgment; and to present his witness. (Rt.Rev.Dr.EdwarD Wayland has done so, and shall not belabour the message). Under GOD's Free Will, the decision to obey or disobey is made by those warned, is personal and immediate. GOD is patient, but not forever. The Minister is NOT required to prove. Only satan demands a myriad of "proofs" which mean nothing, convince nobody, obscures justice, and creates the Legalisms that Jesus Christ cursed so Wrathfully.

> And, where the Constitution of the United States does NOT set a Standard for petition, this petition for redress of grievance is totally valid and Constitutional.

GLOSSARY

Article 6: mandates that ALL state and federal uphold the Constitution as the Supreme Law of the Land. Violations, in any capacity are, of course: Perjury, Felony, Treason, Anarchy, Outlaw.

Admit, Admit/Aver: Whatever is admitted, or averred by failure to timely answer or completely deny is TRUE. Such is not for a particular case but for ALL time. For, GOD does not allow anything to be TRUE...temporarily. It is either TRUE..or it is false.

Affidavit (and thereby Theological Judgment); It IS WRITTEN that the word of the Minister is equal to 2-3, or more, witnesses. This establishes Affidavit; and, as Affidavit, is Theological Judgment.

Amendment 17-Senate (election senators): short of required 2/3rds votes in Congress; ratified by 3/4ths states(?), some of which were, and still are, illegal; improperly signed into "law". Thus, since 1914-15, Senate is illegal, unlawful, un-Constitutional.

Common Law: Founded upon Christian Scripture; is divided into Private-Wrong(civil) in litigation between citizens; and Public-Wrong(Criminal) in all litigation wherein government is one of the litigants..regardless of case-label..at which point all Proceedural Safeguards apply, including Burden-of-Proof upon government.
Thus, in instant case, Appellant is actually DEFENDANT against oppressive government practices.

Constitution: The government is not the constitution; the Constitution is not the government. With the help of GOD, a good Constitution was created for a Christian Nation. It remains a good Christian Constitution..though government has long since departed from its mandates and guarantees. This Nation has a Constitution; but does not have a Constitutional government.

Contract: The Constitution of the U.S. is the contract between the Sovereign Citizen, master (third-party non-signer) and the government as SERVANT. Included are provisions that when the government breaches Contract-Covenant-Faith, the Sovereign Citizen is released from Contract.
A government that is not a Constitutional Government is Breach of Contract.
All violations of Constitutional Guarantees and Mandates are Breach-of-Contract with the Sovereign Citizen, Breach-of-Covenant with GOD, and Breach-of-Faith with BOTH.

Fails-to-Protect: The ONLY legitimate function of government is to protect the Sovereign Citizen; and the only legitimate function of the Judiciary is to protect the Sovereign Citizen from

Oppressive government practices. This is mandated by Amend.4

FRAUD: In addition to the legal definitions of FRAUD: any and all violations of Constitution, Common Law, Theological Rights by government is FRAUD, misrepresentation, despotism, and tyranny.

GOD'S LAW OF LIBERTY & JUSTICE: Sometimes called the Common Law, the Law of the Land, the Law of Conscience; and is founded upon Scripture..not man-made "law". It is not "case-law"; nor that of the former king's self-serving courts, regardless of names applied.

JUDGES: In donning the robes of office, the person ceases to be a politician and becomes a minister FOR (not OF) the administration of GOD's Law of Liberty & Justice. And, in such position, GOD requires much from him. Those who remain politicians are thus betrayers, Judas Iscariots; for GOD has ordered the administration of HIS Justice, and HIS Wrath is fearsome.
GOD has given to man..Free Will.
Does the government presume to be greater than GOD?
Jurisdiction/authority is a grant FROM the Sovereign Citizen Sovereign Immunity to the government for a specific, defined purpose; and it is NOT from government attempt to establish government-grant privilege. Abuses, usurpations of that jurisdiction/authority are, of course, negation of all.

OATH OF PURGATION: Each Christian has the RIGHT to PURGE HIMSELF before GOD of all iniquities, unrighteousness, both real and those falsely charged against him. This is acknowledged and affirmed in several federal courts. And, such Purgation can not be challenged by man..not without damnation to the challenger, for such is challenging the forgiveness and mercy and blessings of GOD. It just isn't done.
Together with Purgation, the Christian can then Charge-to-Ordeal his accusers (which has been done, and which has been defaulted). Thereby, the Accusers have admitted to Perjury, Felony, Treason, Anarchy, Outlaw.

SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY: Government has established that the Sovereign is immune from suit without express consent/permission.
But, who is the Sovereign? the government?
The Preamble, Amendments 9,10, establish that the Citizen is master, thereby Sovereign; and thus is in Sovereign Immunity.
The government, as servant, is thus NOT the Sovereign, and cannot claim any immunities. They belong to the Sovereign Citizen.
Thus: Give unto Caesar that which belongs to Caesar applies only to the Sovereign Citizen; for no servant can be greater than the master; and government is SERVANT.
Thus, violations of the Constitution, also violate the Word of GOD.

THEOLOGICAL JUDGMENT: Jesus Christ passed HIS Commission (Acts of the Apostles) to HIS Disciples and to those who followed. It is further granted by the provisions that "what is bound on earth is bound in heaven; and what is loosed on earth is loosed in heaven."

No government can, with impunity violate, or interfere, or mock such judgment.

666

This is the <u>number</u> of the abominable BEAST spoken of in Revelation.

It is the number of the Treasury Department(IRS)badges: 666
Checks accidently released from the Treasury Dept. are: 666
Many passports are now numbered: 666
Laser-beam codes are now numbered: 666
on 1040 forms (several years) were numbered: 666
The Number and the BEAST are an abomination; and an unpardonable SIN of Unrighteousness.
NO Christian is allowed, without danger of damnation.

So Sayeth The Lord GOD.

Rt Rev Dr Edward Wayland Grantor pro se
forma pauperis
Sovereign Citizen;Preamble.A.9,10
Sovereign Immunity; " " " "
Affidavit//Theological Judgment

RT. REV. EDWARD WAYLAND
P. O. BOX 1008
LOWELL, MASS. 01853

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that service of the foregoing JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT will be made promptly upon Appellees upon docketing of instant case; and should the U.S.S.Ct. refuse the Right to Petition, shall not be served.

Rt Rev Dr Edward Wayland Grantor pro se
forma pauperis

RT. REV. EDWARD WAYLAND
P. O. BOX 1008
LOWELL, MASS. 01853